

## DELEGATED REPORT AND DECISION

**Wards Affected:** Buckingham North, Buckingham South, Tingewick, Luffield Abbey

**14 July 2015**

**BUCKINGHAM NEIGHBOURHOOD PLAN - MODIFICATIONS ARISING FROM THE EXAMINER'S REPORT**

Decision taker: Andy Kirkham (Forward Plans Manager)

Author: David Broadley (Senior Planning Officer) Tel: (01296) 585866

**Executive Summary:**

Following the examination of the Buckingham Neighbourhood Plan, this delegated action report considers and makes decisions on the modifications to the Plan which have been proposed by the Examiner in the Examiner's report, including the area for the referendum.

**1. Recommendation(s)**

- 1.1 That the Council's response to the modifications set out in the Examiner's report which are detailed in the Annex to this report, be agreed and that the Buckingham Neighbourhood Plan as so modified proceed to referendum.
- 1.2 That the area for the referendum, as recommended by the Examiner to be the same as the neighbourhood area, be agreed and that the referendum take place on 17 September 2015.

**2. Background and current position**

- 2.1 The Buckingham Neighbourhood Plan was submitted to the Council on 13 March 2015 and was subsequently publicised for comments for 6 weeks until 24 April 2015. The Council then submitted the plan and representations for examination. Mr Nigel McGurk was appointed by the Council, in consultation with the Buckingham Town Council to examine the plan. The general rule pursuant to paragraph 9 of Schedule 4B of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 (as inserted by Schedule 10 of the Localism Act 2011) is that the examination takes the form of the consideration of written issues, but the examiner can hold a hearing where he considers that the consideration of oral representations is necessary to ensure adequate examination of the issue or to enable a person to put forward a fair case. In this case, Mr McGurk decided a Hearing was not necessary.

- 2.2 The draft examiner's report was received on 8 June 2015 for fact checking and a final version of the report was received by the Council and sent to Buckingham Town Council on 16 June 2015. The Council is now required to decide what action to take in response to each of the Examiner's recommendations. His recommendations address:
- (a) modifications to the plan and its content in order to ensure that it complies with the basic conditions that all neighbourhood plans must meet; and
  - (b) the area over which the referendum will take place.
- 2.3 Subject to the Examiner's modifications and the Council's response (as set out in the Annex to this report), the Neighbourhood Plan as so modified can proceed to the Referendum stage. The revision of the Plan to take into account the Examiner's modifications and other minor updating and typographical corrections will be published before the Referendum.

### 3. **Options**

- 3.1 The Forward Plans Manager has delegated authority to make decisions on an Examiner's report that recommend no or only minor changes to a Neighbourhood plan after consultation with the Local Members and the Cabinet Member for Growth Strategy.
- 3.2 The various options are as follows:-

#### 1. Decide not to progress the Plan in light of the Examiner's report

This option would only be necessary if the Examiner recommends that the Plan should not proceed to referendum or if the Council consider the modifications are not in accordance with the legal requirements. As the Examiner recommends the Plan as modified should proceed to Referendum and the modifications meet the legal requirements, this option cannot be justified.

#### 2. Act upon the Examiner's report and progress the plan to referendum

In this case, the Examiner's modifications are minor and the Local Members and the Cabinet Member for Cabinet Member for Growth Strategy are in agreement with the Council's response on those modifications and, therefore Option 2 is the preferred option.

### 4. **Implications**

#### 4.1 Policy

- 4.2 The National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) sets out that Neighbourhood Plans must be in general conformity with the strategic policies of the Development Plan. Neighbourhood Plans should reflect these policies, and neighbourhoods should plan positively to support them. Neighbourhood Plans and Neighbourhood Development Orders should not promote less development than is set out in the Local Plan, or

undermine its strategic policies. In our district, the strategic policies are set out in the adopted Aylesbury Vale District Local Plan (AVDLP).

- 4.3 Once a Neighbourhood Plan has successfully passed all of the stages of preparation, including an Examination and Referendum, it is 'made' by the local planning authority and forms part of the authority's Development Plan. As set out in paragraph 11 of the National Planning Policy Framework 'Planning law requires that applications for planning permission must be determined in accordance with the development plan unless material considerations indicate otherwise'.

## 5.0 Resources

- 5.1 Finance: The Localism Act 2011 ("the Act") and the Neighbourhood Planning (General) Regulations 2012 ("the 2012 Regulations") place new duties on local planning authorities in relation to Neighbourhood Planning. These new duties have considerable implications for staff resources and include taking decisions at key stages in the process; being proactive in providing advice to communities about neighbourhood planning; providing advice or assistance to a parish council, neighbourhood forum or community organisation that is undertaking neighbourhood planning.

- 5.2 In recognition of the additional burdens that these new duties place on local planning authorities, the Department for Communities and Local Government (DCLG) has made available grants to local planning authorities up to £30,000 for each neighbourhood plan. The payment of the Extra Burdens Grant is phased so that £5,000 is available when the neighbourhood area is designated; a further £5,000 when the plan is submitted and publicised; and the final £20,000 following successful examination.

- 5.3 As the Buckingham Neighbourhood Plan has now also successfully passed examination the outstanding grant monies for this plan have been claimed.

- 5.4 The Extra Burdens Grant of £30,000 is expected, by Government, to cover the costs of the examination and the referendum. The extra burdens funding for this particular plan is also likely to cover the majority of staff costs. Staff resources to support Neighbourhood Planning will come from the existing staff within the Forward Plans team. There will, however, be additional costs to Democratic Services team in respect of carrying out the Referendum, although it is expected the Extra Burdens Grant will cover the Referendum costs. Decisions on any significant resource issues for the Council, as a result of officer involvement in Neighbourhood Planning, will be taken separately, as necessary.

- 5.5 The impact of the delegated decision on revenue costs or income is set out above and, in addition, the costs associated with the publicity of the plan; the independent examination and the holding of any future referendum will be met from the Forward Plans budget.

## 6.0 Legal issues

- (a) Neighbourhood planning is part of the Government's initiative to empower local communities to take forward planning proposals at a local level. The Act and the subsequent 2012 Regulations confer specific functions on local planning authorities in relation to neighbourhood planning.
- (b) The Buckingham Neighbourhood Plan has been consulted on three times by Buckingham Town Council. Each consultation was carried out in accordance with Regulation 14 of the 2012 Regulations. The consultations took place on 25 November 2013 – 13 January 2014, 1 July- 12 August 2014 and 20 January - 5 March 2015. At each of these consultations there were a significant number of community and stakeholder responses and after each stage significant revisions were made to the plan by the Town Council. Following the submission of the plan to the AVDC on 13 March 2015, the plan was publicised pursuant to Regulation 16 of the 2012 Regulations.
- (c) As with any planning decision, there is a risk of legal challenge to the plan and/or judicial review of the council's decision to proceed with the referendum. The risk of challenge is being managed by ensuring that the regulations are followed and that the Council's decision making process is clear and transparent.

## 7.0 Other Implications

- 7.1 A Neighbourhood Plan must meet the basic conditions set out in paragraph 8 (2) of Schedule 4B to the Town and Country Planning Act 1990. The Examiner's report has confirmed that the Plan, as modified, meets all the basic conditions and officers are satisfied that there are no conflicts with these aspects. The Examiner also considered the area for the referendum and recommended that it should not extend beyond the neighbourhood area to which the plan relates. Officers are satisfied with his recommendation in this respect.
- 7.2 The consultations on the draft plan have helped to raise awareness of the development of the plan

## 8.0 **Decision**

- 8.1 I agree the recommendations in paragraphs 1.1 and 1.2 of this report and have made the decision for the Council to receive and act upon the Examiner's report and that the Buckingham Neighbourhood Plan, as proposed to be modified by the Examiner's Report, should proceed to referendum for the area recommended by the Examiner



Andy Kirkham, Forward Plans Manager

Date: 14 July 2015

Background Papers:

- Buckingham Neighbourhood Plan, Submission version, March 2015
- Buckingham Neighbourhood Plan Examiner's report, June 2015

## Modifications recommended by the Examiner and the Council's response

Page	Examiner's Report	Aylesbury Vale District Council Response
	Modifications to policies and supporting text	
12	Delete final paragraph of <b>Foreword</b> ' <i>...so far...</i> ' from preceding paragraph.	Agreed. This makes the plan more concise and user friendly.
12	<b>Introduction and Background.</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Delete paragraphs 1.3, 1.6.</li> <li>Paragraph 1.7 change first and second sentences to 'The BNDP provides for 617 dwellings and in addition, for 400 student units, in light of...Buckingham'.</li> <li>Paragraph 1.12 should be shortened and made clearer 'A Sustainability Appraisal has ben...'</li> <li>Delete Paragraphs 1.17-1.22</li> </ul>	Agreed. This makes the plan more concise and user friendly.
15	<b>Section: The Neighbourhood Plan – Neighbourhood Plan Policies</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Delete second paragraph at the top of page 31</li> </ul>	Agreed. This makes the plan more concise and user friendly.
15-16	<b>Policy HP1 – Allocate land for 617 new dwellings</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Add at the end of Policy HP1 'The reserved allocated site, Site M, will only be required if one or more of the allocated sites, with a total of 80 outstanding units, is not brought forward before 2025</li> <li>Delete paragraphs 6.1 and 6.2</li> <li>Change title of policy to 'Allocate land for around 617 dwellings'</li> <li>Delete '<i>...and any applicable Local plan</i>'</li> <li>Delete paragraphs 6.3, .4 and Tables 2 and 3</li> </ul>	Agreed. The changes would make the policy much clearer for how its terms should be carried out. The changes also remove confusing and superfluous elements in the plan.
17	<b>Policy HP3 – Allocate land for self-build</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>First line of the policy – change 'support' to 'supported</li> <li>Delete '<i>...and any applicable Local Plan.</i>'</li> <li>Delete from line 13, '<i>...at development...</i>' to the end of Policy HP3</li> </ul>	Agreed. The changes would make the policy much clearer for how its terms should be carried out. The changes also remove confusing and superfluous elements in the plan.
17	<b>Policy HP4 – Provide a diverse housing mix</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Change opening sentence to 'the sustainable development of a wide range of housing types, sizes and tenures; including the provision of housing that meets local needs, will be supported.'</li> <li>Delete text in parenthesis</li> <li>Line 7, delete from "<i>...and from smaller...</i>" to the end of the Policy</li> </ul>	Agreed. The change makes the plan more fully in line with the NPPF on providing sustainable development and clearer on how the plan should be carried out.
18-19	<b>Policy HP5 – Provide affordable housing</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Delete lines 5-16 of Policy HP5, from "<i>...; the affordable... to ...or adjacent sites...</i>"</li> <li>Line 22 change to "<i>...mix and location of affordable housing and how it will be phased</i>". Delete remainder of Policy HP5</li> <li>Delete paragraphs 6.8 and 6.9 and notes 32 and 33</li> <li>Change paragraph 6.11 to "<i>...be created, Buckingham Town Council would like at least 10% of the affordable housing to be delivered...</i>"</li> </ul>	Agreed. The changes would make the policy much clearer for how its terms should be carried out. The changes also remove confusing and superfluous elements in the plan.
19	<b>Policy HP6 – Phasing</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Change first sentence of Policy HP6 to "<i>The allocated residential development sites will not commence before 1<sup>st</sup> January 2016.</i>"</li> </ul>	Agreed. The changes add more clarity and certainty to the plan.

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Change second sentence of to “Development of the allocated residential sites can come forward before 2016 where it can be demonstrated that there is sufficient infrastructure capacity.”</li> <li>• Move all of the rest of the Policy to a new paragraph of supporting text above Paragraph 6.13, with the first sentence changed to “Part of the reason for this Policy is to allow employment...policies. In addition, sewerage capacity needs...Developers will need to provide evidence...”</li> <li>• Delete Paragraph 6.12</li> </ul>	
19	<p><b>Policy HP17 – Guidelines for Windfall Sites</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Policy HP7, change to “...within the settlement boundary, including...”</li> </ul>	Agreed. Referring to the settlement boundary rather than less specific ‘built up area’ would increase clarity to plan users.
20	<p><b>Policy DHE1 – Site Specific Requirement and Buckingham Design Guide</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Delete Policy DHE1</li> <li>• Create a new ‘Community Action – Buckingham Design Guide’ and place the text of the deleted policy in a new supporting Para underneath, subject to the following changes, ‘The Town Council...Society. The Design Guide will conform to the objectives of design guidance set out by the Local Planning Authority. One of the guiding... market town.’</li> </ul>	Acknowledge that the plan cannot require adherence to a document that doesn’t exist. However the Community Action would still enable the Design Guide to come forward.
20	<p><b>Policy DHE2 – Protect Existing Trees and Provision of Trees in Developments</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Add, to line 2, ‘...be maintained in accordance with British Standard BS5837 or as superseded, in development...’</li> <li>• Delete Para 7.1</li> </ul>	Agreed. The changes add more clarity and certainty to the plan.
21	<p><b>Policy DHE3 – Provision of Green Infrastructure in Relation to Habitats</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Delete Policy DHE3</li> <li>• Delete Paras 7.3 and 7.4 up to, but excluding the final sentence of Para 7.4</li> </ul>	Agreed. If the policy does not meet the Basic Conditions it needs to be deleted.
21	<p><b>Policy DHE4 – Standard of Ecological Information Required to Minimise the Impact on Natural Habitats</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• First line of Policy DHE4, change ‘will’ to ‘should’</li> <li>• Delete ‘,and utilise the ...Calculator’</li> <li>• Delete final sentence of Policy DHE4</li> </ul>	Agreed. The changes add more clarity and certainty to the plan.
22	<p><b>Policy DHE5 – Protection of Designated Sites and Local and National Priority Habitats and Species</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Policy DHE5, delete first sentence</li> <li>• Change third sentence to ‘...and species should protect the sites and wherever possible, deliver a net gain in biodiversity. In granting...’</li> </ul>	Agreed. The changes add more clarity and certainty to the plan.
22	<p><b>Policy DHE6 – Protection of Movement Corridors</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Change to ‘...Where there are potentially adverse...’</li> </ul>	Agreed. The change adds more clarity and certainty to the plan.
22	<p><b>Policy DHE7 – Biodiversity in Development Landscaping</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Change ‘...are expected...’ to ‘must’ and delete final sentence.</li> </ul>	Agreed. The changes add more clarity and certainty to the plan.
23	<p><b>Policy DHE8 – Provision of Good Quality Private Outdoor Space</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• End Policy at ‘...have been incorporated into schemes.’</li> <li>• Move all subsequent text to a new Para of supporting text above Para 7.14, starting ‘This Policy seeks to secure development where private external space...in respect...dwellings, the Town Council would...’</li> </ul>	Agreed. This makes the plan more concise and user friendly.

23	<p><b>Policy DHE9 – Design of Developments in Relation to Daylight and Sunlight</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Delete Policy DHE9 and Para 7.17</li> </ul>	Agreed. If the policy does not meet the Basic Conditions it needs to be deleted.
24	<p><b>Policy CLH1 – Community Building Provision</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Delete Policy CLH1</li> <li>Create a new ‘Community Action’ and set out the text of the deleted Policy under this new heading, before Para 8.1</li> </ul>	Agreed. The Community Action would still enable the Community Building provisions to come forward without being a Policy.
24	<p><b>Policy CLH3 – Provision of Play Provision for New Developments</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Delete the second part of Policy CLH3, from ‘...Play space will...’</li> </ul>	Agreed. It is not a matter under the control of the Neighbourhood Plan. This makes the plan more concise and user friendly.
25	<p><b>Policy CLH5 – Protection of Existing and Provision of New Health Facilities</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Change title of Policy CLH5 to ‘New or extended health care facilities’</li> </ul>	Agreed. The change adds greater clarity to the plan.
25-26	<p><b>Policy CLH6 – Protection of Existing Green Open Space</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Include a new paragraph of supporting text. This should set out that Local Green Spaces have been designated in the Neighbourhood Plan to afford special protection to green areas of particular importance to the local community. It should also state, in a brief bullet point relating to each area of Local Green Space designated, why each green area is demonstrably special to the local community and what the particular local significance of each space comprises.</li> <li>The boundaries of each Local Green Space should be provided, in this section, on clearly defined maps showing the precise boundaries and the names of the Local Green Spaces. This should be in addition to any other Map showing all the Local Green Spaces together.</li> <li>Change ‘Proposed Designated Green’ on Figure 4.2 to ‘Local Green Space’</li> <li>The title of the Policy should change to ‘Local Green Spaces’</li> <li>Delete Ford Meadows</li> </ul>	Agreed. The change adds further clarity to the areas of Local Green Space and makes the plan more user friendly.
26	<p><b>Policy CLH8 – Preservation of St Rumbold’s Well</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Add to Policy CLH8 ‘.../railway walk subject to there being no substantial harm to the scheduled ancient monument, unless substantial public benefits are demonstrated to outweigh that harm. This is...’</li> </ul>	Agreed. The change adds more clarity and certainty to the plan.
26	<p><b>Policy CLH9 – Creation of New Parks and Green Spaces</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Delete Policy CLH9</li> </ul>	Agreed. If the policy does not meet the Basic Conditions it needs to be deleted.
28	<p><b>Policy EE1 – Allocate Land for Employment Development</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Change Policy EE1 to read: ‘Land at Site Q is allocated for 10 hectares of new employment development. Employment development will be located on that part of the site closest to Buckingham Industrial Estate. The southern edge of the allocation will comprise a landscape buffer to protect views between the site and the Padbury Valley. The remainder of Site Q is allocated as a reserve employment allocation. This will only be developed should it be demonstrated that further employment development is necessary. The development of employment land must accord with the following requirements:’</li> <li>Retain the six bullet points in Policy EE1</li> <li>Amend Figure 9.1 in light of the above. Delete Figure 9.2 and delete paragraph 9.6</li> </ul>	Agreed. The changes would make the policy much clearer for how its terms should be carried out.

28	<p><b>Policy EE2 – Allocation of Land for Retail, Office and Mixed Development</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Add ‘...developments at the locations shown in Figures 9.3...’</li> <li>• Change line 3 to ‘...9.5. Office usage on...or A5 will be supported. This...’</li> <li>• Delete Para 9.7</li> </ul>	Agreed. The changes add more clarity and certainty to the plan.
29	<p><b>Policy EE5 – Allocation of Land for Town Centre Parking</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Change Policy EE5 to ‘Two sites have been identified on Figure 9.8 where the provision of town centre car parking will be supported. Car parks at these locations should provide disabled car parking spaces, electric car charging bays and access to footpaths.’</li> <li>• Paras 9.19 and 9.20, change ‘must’ to ‘should’</li> </ul>	Agreed. The changes would make the policy much clearer for how its terms should be carried out. The changes also remove confusing and superfluous elements in the plan.
30	<p><b>Policy EE6 – Telecommunication Provision</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Second Para, delete ‘...,character or appearance...’</li> </ul>	Agreed. This makes the plan more concise and user friendly.
30	<p><b>Policy EE8 – Land Allocated to the University of Buckingham Expansion</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Delete the second sentence of Policy EE8</li> <li>• Delete Para 9.23 and 9.24</li> </ul>	Agreed. The changes would make the policy much clearer and removes the repetition from Policy HP2 for provision of student accommodation.
31	<p><b>Policy I1 – Creation of Infrastructure Networks into New Development</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Delete Policy I1</li> <li>• Change Para 10.1 to ‘The Town Council strongly supports the provision of good connections, via pedestrian and cycle links, with the existing town, footpaths, bridleways, and other potential destinations. Section 4 sets out details in this regard.’</li> <li>• Change ‘must’ to ‘should’ in Paras 10.2 – 10.4 inclusive</li> </ul>	Agreed. The Policy does not meet the Basic Conditions. The amended wording allows the neighbourhood plan to still support connectivity improvements.
31	<p><b>Policy I2 – Disabled Access Requirement for New Pedestrian Areas</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Add ‘New’ to the beginning of Policy I2 and change ‘must’ to ‘should’ in Paras 10.5 and 10.6</li> </ul>	Agreed. The changes add more clarity and certainty to the plan.
31	<p><b>Policy I3 – Public Transport Connectivity</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Delete Policy I3 and Paras 10.7 to 10.8</li> </ul>	Agreed. If the policy does not meet the Basic Conditions it needs to be deleted.
32	<p><b>Policy I4 – Sustainable Building Design</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Delete Policy I4</li> </ul>	Agreed. If the policy does not meet the Basic Conditions it needs to be deleted.
32	<p><b>Policy I5 – Renewable Energy Generation</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Change Policy I5 to ‘Planning permission will be granted for small scale micro-generation...energy. Any such development affecting heritage assets, or their setting, will only be permitted where it is demonstrated to preserve or enhance these assets.’</li> </ul>	Agreed. The wording of the Policy is repetitive. The changes add more clarity and certainty to the plan.
32	<p><b>Policy I6 – Rainwater Collection</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Policy I6, first line, delete ‘...or redeveloped...’</li> </ul>	Agreed. The changes add more clarity and certainty to the plan.
32	<p><b>Policy I7 – Sustainable Urban Drainage System</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Delete Policy I7 and delete Para 10.9</li> </ul>	Agreed. If the policy does not meet the Basic Conditions it needs to be deleted.
33	<p><b>Policy I9 – Sewage Management</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Change wording to ‘...this situation, development requiring sewage drainage must demonstrate an appropriate solution.’</li> <li>• Delete Para 10.11</li> </ul>	Agreed. The Policy does not meet the Basic Conditions as worded. The changes add more clarity and certainty to the plan.

34	<p><b>Policy DC1 – Contributions for Cycle and Pedestrian Connections</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Delete Policy DC1</li> <li>• Retain Paras 11.1 to 11.3 inclusive</li> <li>• Include new heading above Para 11.1 'Community Action' and change wording to Para 11.1 to 'The provision of new pedestrian and cycle links is strongly supported and the Town Council will seek contributions towards this aspiration.'</li> <li>• Change wording of Para 11.2 to begin 'It is proposed that schemes will provide:...villages'</li> </ul>	Agreed. The Community Action would still enable the new pedestrian and cycle links to come forward.
34	<p><b>Policy DC2 – Contributions for the Implementation of the Buckingham Transport Strategy</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Delete Policy DC2 and Paras 11.4 to 11.6</li> </ul>	Agreed. If the policy does not meet the Basic Conditions it needs to be deleted.
34	<p><b>Policy DC3 – Contributions for Local Infrastructure</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Delete Policy DC3</li> </ul>	Agreed. If the policy does not meet the Basic Conditions it needs to be deleted.
35	<p><b>Site Specific Requirements</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Title Policies: 'Policy SR1 Site Design Brief; Policy SR2 Site G; Policy SR3 Site H' and so on</li> <li>• Change first sentence of the Site Design Brief policy to 'A Design Brief for each of the allocated housing sites must...' Delete '...and agreed...' from the second sentence and replace with 'and demonstrate how it accords with the Neighbourhood Plan...'</li> <li>• Delete second bullet point in the Site G Policy</li> <li>• Change the sixth bullet point, which refers to the Scheduled Ancient Monument, to simply 'Provide a new park.' (National heritage policies safeguard Scheduled Ancient Monuments)</li> <li>• Delete second bullet point in the Site J Policy</li> <li>• In all of the allocation Policies, change 'up to' to 'around'</li> <li>• Delete all of the bullet points referring to accessible green space, equipped playing space, informal playing space and additional green space</li> </ul>	Agreed. This makes the plan more concise and user friendly and ensures the plan has regard to National Policy.