

VALE OF AYLESBURY LOCAL PLAN EXAMINATION

Inspector: Paul Clark BA MA MRTPI

Inspector's Questions to the Council:

Q31-37

Q31 In the light of the representation (372) from Helen Delaitrre on behalf of the Chiltern and Aylesbury Vale Clinical Commissioning Groups asserting the CCG's opposition to temporary health service facilities and their assertion that they would only support the provision of 5-GP practices (requiring a critical mass of a 10,000 population), what is the justification for the requirements for health facilities in allocations D-AGT1 (q), D-AGT2 (r), D-AGT3 (t), D-AGT4 (p) and D-AGT6 (l)?

Q32 I would appreciate the Council's comments on the representation from Tim Northey of Rectory Homes Ltd to the effect that allocation D-WHI009 is inconsistent with its assessment in the 2016 HELAA and so, unsound.

Q33 I would welcome the Council's response to the representation (1113) by Mr Neil Arbon of DPDS on behalf of Paul Newman New Homes that the designation of additional Green Belt to the west of Leighton Linlade is unsound.

Q34 I would welcome the Council's response to the representation by Mr Nick Laister of RPS group on behalf of Mrs S Pearce to the effect that the content of policy E7 does not reflect its justification in the preceding paragraphs 6.25-6.30.

Q35 I would welcome the Council's response to the representations by Kirsty Macpherson of Natural England

Q36 I would welcome the Council's response to the representation made by Sarah Butterfield of WYG on behalf of Corbally (Finmere) Group and Mrs Vanessa Tait to the effect that the submitted plan is unsound because the Sustainability Appraisal failed to assess the former Finmere Airfield as Previously developed Land.

Q37 I would welcome the Council's response to the representation by Geoff Gardner of Gardner Planning Ltd on behalf of Arnold White Estates to the effect that allocation AGT-2 is unsuitable for development because of the noise and visual effects of HST2.