June 10th
2019

Re: Vale of Aylesbury Locat Plan

Dear Sir/Madam,

I am writing following the publishing of the submission to the Planning Inspector of the issues/comments raised by the Maids Moreton and Foscote Action Group and also because I am a Regulation 19 Objector to site MMO006 in the VALP.

I am very concerned that AVOC do not seem to have followed the appropriate process and that their actions appear to have denied me any opportunity to make any representation at any hearing. I have raised a formal complaint with the Council asking how a site can change from "unsuitable" to "suitable" to which I had objected without there being any notification (ref: 1655328). I am also deeply concerned that a response to the VALP I received which is listed as Q67 informed me and also the Inspector that MMO006 was to be deleted from the VALP.

From the submission it does not appear that the process followed by AVOC was in itself sound and neither was the assessment of the site. I am astonished that AVOC's own Sustainability Appraisal which had this site as the least sustainable of all sites in Maids Moreton appears to have been ignored. I wish to highlight that one piece of my objection was that the planning application on this site (16/00151/AOP) has unduly influenced the VALP. I had raised the "retro fitting" of this application into the VALP which is putting the cart before the horse and undermines the integrity of AVOC's process and the very credibility of the VALP as a rational, logical, sound document.

More than anything I am alarmed that the various objectors to this site in the VALP appear to have not been treated on an equal footing with the Developer [David Wilson Homes]. We have been sidelined and ignored, our rights to object effectively have been curtailed, and we have not been given the opportunity to be heard.

I find it very difficult to believe that the VALP has been constructed in a credible and transparent manner if what I have witnessed relating to this one site is representative. I am also particularly concerned that it appears information provided to the Inspector is unreliable and that AVOC do not seem to have followed the Inspector's recommendations or direction.
I recognise that this letter may not carry any weight but the strength of feeling within the village is very strong as to the situation. There is a feeling of frustration and distrust of the process and I therefore wished to make the Inspector aware of this.

Yours Sincerely

Jeremy Bloss