

## **VALE OF AYLESBURY LOCAL PLAN EXAMINATION**

**Inspector Paul Clark BA MA MRTPI**

**Inspector's replies to AVDC's responses to Discussion Documents D1 (ED188) together with the Aylesbury Retail Impact Threshold Note (ED188A): Discussion Document D3: (ED189): Responses to Ms. Fisher's representation (ED191) and the paper from Aylesbury Old Town Residents' Association (ED190)**

The Inspector thanks the Council for their responses to his discussion documents D1, together with the Aylesbury Retail Impact Threshold Note, and D3, the response C24.2 to Gillian Fisher's representation 225 and the paper responding to Aylesbury Old Town Residents Association.

Subject to any representations which may be made in response to the Modifications when published, he has no further questions in relation to these documents, except in relation to the modification suggested to policy E5.

He notes the Council's reasoning, referencing the NPPF, in favour of a requirement for an impact assessment in the third line of clause (a) as proposed to be revised but he has been consistent in his examination of VALP in seeking to delete from policy such procedural requirements, which ought to be a matter set out in the Council's validation requirements for planning applications. For consistency's sake, he would prefer it if the Council reverted to a form of words more akin to the suggestion in his discussion document D5 for that sentence of the policy.

12.8.19